

Division of Laboratory Systems



Recognizing, Identifying, and Reporting the Identification of Select Agents and Toxins

Susanna Schmink, MPH CPH John R. McQuiston, PhD With Opening Remarks from Victoria Olson, PhD

April 26, 2023





Agenda

- Happy Lab Week!
- Opening Remarks
 Dr. Victoria Olson, PhD
- Introductions
 - Today's Presenters
- *Recognizing, Identifying, and Reporting the Identification of Select Agents and Toxins*
- Q&A
- P.A.C.E Credit Instructions
- Upcoming Events



Medical Laboratory Professionals Week: April 23-29



- We celebrate laboratory professionals who protect our future by skillfully adapting to meet today's evolving patient care and public health challenges with **resilience**, **innovation**, and **expertise**.
- Join DLS in celebrating Lab Week 2023 by
 Showing thanks to a laboratory professional
 - $\,\circ\,$ Participating in DLS's Lab Week activities
 - $\,\circ\,$ Accessing our digital toolkit and content

www.cdc.gov/csels/dls/lab-week/



Division of Laboratory Systems

 CDC, our planners, and our presenters wish to disclose they have no financial interests or other relationships with the manufacturers of commercial products, suppliers of commercial services, or commercial supporters.



Division of Laboratory Systems

Opening Remarks



Dr. Victoria Olson, PhD

Deputy Director

Office of Laboratory Science and Safety (OLSS) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)





Presenter



Susanna Schmink, MPH CPH

Microbiologist, Form 4 Technical Advisor Division of Select Agents and Toxins (DSAT) Office for Readiness and Response(ORR) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)



Presenter



Dr. John R. McQuiston, PhD

Team Lead, Special Bacteriology Reference Laboratory (SBRL) Bacterial Special Pathogens Branch Division of High Consequence Pathogens and Pathology Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Reporting the Identification of a Select Agent or Toxin (APHIS/CDC Form 4)

Susanna Schmink, MPH CPH Division of Select Agents and Toxins, Center for Preparedness and Response, CDC

> OneLab Network Presentation April 2023



Federal Select Agent Program (FSAP)

- Regulates the possession, use, and transfer of biological select agents and toxins (BSAT) with the potential to pose a severe threat to public, animal or plant health, or to animal or plant products
- Managed jointly by:



 The Division of Select Agents and Toxins (DSAT), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)



 The Division of Agricultural Select Agents and Toxins (DASAT), Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS), U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA)



APHIS/CDC Form 4 Purpose, Regulations, and Reporting



APHIS/CDC Form 4 Purpose

• The APHIS/CDC Form 4, Reporting the Identification of a Select Agent or Toxin, is used by clinical or diagnostic laboratories and other entities to notify the Federal Select Agent Program of the identification of a select agent or toxin as the result of diagnosis, verification, or proficiency testing and of the final disposition of that identified agent or toxin.



Image of APHIS/CDC Form 4

Select Agent and Toxin Regulations

- 7 C.F.R. Part 331: Agriculture
- 9 C.F.R. Part 121: Animals and Animal Products
- <u>42 C.F.R. Part 73: Public Health</u>
- Nonregistered entities
 7 C.F.R. 331.5
 9 C.F.R. 121.5-6
 42 C.F.R. 73.5-6

Federal Select Agent Program (selectagents.gov)





Image of microorganism from Federal Select Agent Program website

APHIS/CDC Form 4 Requirements

- Clinical or diagnostic laboratories and other entities that possess, use, or transfer a select agent or toxin that is contained in a specimen presented for diagnosis or verification will be exempt from the requirements of the select agent regulations, provided that:
 - A completed and signed APHIS/CDC Form 4A is submitted within seven calendar days after identification
 - The select agent or toxin is secured against theft, loss, or release during the period between identification of the select agent or toxin and transfer or destruction



APHIS/CDC Form 4 Requirements (continued)

- The clinical or diagnostic specimens collected from a patient infected with a select agent are transferred in accordance with § 73.16* or destroyed on-site by a recognized sterilization or inactivation process within seven calendar days
- The identification of the agent or toxin is reported to CDC or APHIS, the specimen provider, and to other appropriate authorities when required by Federal, State, or local law

* Per the HHS regulations

See 7 CFR §331.5(a), 9 CFR §121.5(a) and 121.6(a), and 42 CFR §73.5(a) and 73.6(a)



Image of thumbtack on calendar day 7

Select Agents and Toxins Requiring Immediate Reporting

- Bacillus anthracis
- Bacillus cereus Biovar anthracis
- Botulinum neurotoxins
- Botulinum neurotoxin producing species of *Clostridium*
- Burkholderia mallei
- Burkholderia pseudomallei

- Ebola viruses
- Foot-and-mouth disease virus
- Francisella tularensis
- Marburg virus
- Rinderpest virus
- Variola major virus (Smallpox virus)
- Variola minor (Alastrim)
- Yersinia pestis

APHIS/CDC Form 4A Helpful Information – Date of Immediate Notification

Immediate Notification – Question B3

 Immediate Notification (IN) is required for Tier 1 select agents and toxins

 Date of IN is the date the laboratory identifying the select agent or toxin notified CDC or APHIS

SECTION B - S	SELECT AGENT OR TOXIN	IDENTIFIED FROM CLINICA	AL/DIAGNO	STIC SPECIMEN(S)
1. Select Agent or Toxin Identified:	2. Date identified:	3. Date of Immediate Notification for Ti	ier 1 4. 1	Type of notification to APHIS or CDC:
{Select}		agents of N/A for non-tier 1 agent to APH		eFSAP I N/A
# of samples received:	Sample type received:		7. Zip code for	r case/patient/sample origin:
	{Select}			
8. Type of test performed:				
Biochemical	🗖 Immur	nochemistry		PCR
Culture	Mass	Spectrometry (e.g., MALDI)		Sequencing
DFA/IFA	Micros	scopy		Other:
ELISA/EIA/RIA	Mouse	Bioassay		

APHIS/CDC Form 4A Helpful Information – Date Sample Provider Notified

Sample Provider Notification – Question B11

 Sample Provider is the doctor, veterinarian, treatment facility, laboratory, etc. where the sample came from

 Date the Sample Provider was notified will be a date after the identification date

11. Has the sender(s) (i.e., sample provider(s)) of the specimen(s) been noti Date of Notification: NOTE: Please request complete	fied of the identification of the se eted and signed Part 2 from each	lect agent or to facility that wa	xin? No	Yes the specimen(s).		
12. Was your entity the source of the sample(s)? No Yes (If <mark>Yes, s</mark> kip to #22 if you have an	iy additional co	mments.)			
13. Is the sample provider located outside the United States? No Yes If Yes, provide country: {Select}						
14. Sample Provider Entity Name:						
15. Address (NOT a post office address): 16. City:	15. Address (NOT a post office address): 16. City: 17. State: {Select} 18. Zip Code:					
19: Sample Provider Point of Contact (First, MI, Last): 20. Sample Provider E-mail Address: 21. Sample Provider Contact Number:						
22. Comments / Notes:						



APHIS/CDC Form 4A Helpful Information – Date Notified of the Select Agent or Toxin Identification

 Date notified by reference laboratory of select agent or toxin identification that was reported to APHIS or CDC – Question D2
 This date should match the date provided by the reference laboratory

SECTION D – SPECIMEN(S) CONTAINING SELECT	AGENT OR TOXIN PROVIDED TO REFERENCE LABORATORY
1. Select Agent or Toxin Identified: {Select}	Date notified by reference laboratory of select agent or toxin identification reported to APHIS or CDC:
3. # of samples shipped: 4. Sample type provided: {Select}	Zip code for case/patient/sample origin:
6. Date sample(s) shipped to Reference Laboratory: 7.	ame of Reference Laboratory:
Disposition of any remaining select agent or toxin listed by entity:	
Destroyed (Provide destruction method and date. Method:	Date:)
Retained (Provide name of Principal Investigator retaining sample. Name)
Not applicable, the entire specimen was transferred to the Reference Lab	ratory.
9. Were any of the samples containing a select agent or toxin handled outside	of primary containment which may have led to an unintentional release and/or exposure to the
select agent or toxin?	
No Yes (If Yes, you are required under 7 CFR §331.19, 9 CFR §121	19, and 42 CFR §73.19 to complete and submit an APHIS/CDC Form 3)
10. Was your entity the source of the sample(s)? No Yes (If Yes, s	ip to #21 if you have any additional comments.)
11. Has the sender(s) (i.e., sample provider(s)) of the specimen(s) been notifie NOTE: Please request completed and signed Part 2 from each facility that wa	of the identification of the select agent ortoxin? No Yes in possession of the specimen(s).

APHIS/CDC Form 4A Helpful Information – Number of Samples Shipped

Number of samples shipped – Question D3

 This number may not match the number of samples received provided by the reference laboratory on the APHIS/CDC Form 4A Sections A&B, Question B5

SECTION D – SPE	CIMEN(S) CONTAINING SEL	ECT AGENT OR TOX	IN PROVIDED TO	REFERENCE LABORATORY
1. Select Agent or Toxin Identified: (Select)			Date notified by re identification reported	ference laboratory of select agent or toxin d to APHIS or CDC:
# of samples shipped:	4. Sample type provided: {Select}			5. Zip code for case/patient/sample origin:
Date sample(s) shipped to Refere	ence Laboratory:	7. Name of Reference La	aboratory:	
8. Disposition of any remaining sele	ct agent or toxin listed by entity:			
 Destroyed (Provide destruction 	method and date. Method:	Da	te:	
Retained (Provide name of Print	ncipal Investigator retaining sample.	Name:)
Not applicable, the entire specir	men was transferred to the Reference	Eaboratory.		
9. Were any of the samples containi	ing a select agent or toxin handled ou	utside of primary containme	nt which may have led	to an unintentional release and/or exposure to the
select agent or toxin?				
No Yes (If Yes, you are re	equired under 7 CFR §331.19, 9 CFR	§121.19, and 42 CFR §73.1	19 to complete and sub	omit an APHIS/CDC Form 3)
10. Was your entity the source of the	e sample(s)? No 📃 Yes (If Y	/es, skip t <mark>o #21</mark> if you have	any additional comme	nts.)
11. Has the sender(s) (i.e., sample p NOTE: Please request completed at	provider(s)) of the specimen(s) been r nd signed Part 2 from each facility the	notified of the identification of the at was in possession of the	of the select agent or to specimen(s).	oxin? No Yes

APHIS/CDC Form 4A Helpful Information – Zip Code for Sample Origination

- Zip code for case/patient/sample origin Question D5
 - The zip code is the only patient-related information required on the form

oThe zip code is important

SECTION D = SPE	CIMEN(S) CONTAINING SEL	ECT AGENT OK TOA	IN FROVIDED TO	REPERENCE LABORATORT
1. Select Agent or Toxin Identified: {Select}			Date notified by reference identification reported.	erence laboratory of select agent or toxin to APHIS or CDC:
3. # of samples shipped:	4. Sample type provided: {Select}		·	Zip code for case/patient/sample origin:
6. Date sample(s) shipped to Refere	ence Laboratory:	7. Name of Reference La	aboratory:	
8. Disposition of any remaining sele	ect agent or toxin listed by entity:			
 Destroyed (Provide destruction 	method and date. Method:	Da	ite:	
Retained (Provide name of Principal PrinciP	ncipal Investigator retaining sample. N	lame:)
 Not applicable, the entire speci 	men was transferred to the Reference	Laboratory.		
9. Were any of the samples contain	ing a select agent or toxin handled ou	tside of primary containme	nt which may have led	to an unintentional release and/or exposure to the
select agent or toxin?				
No Yes (If Yes, you are r	equired under 7 CFR §331.19, 9 CFR	§121.19, and 42 CFR §73.	19 to complete and subr	mit an APHIS/CDC Form 3)
10. Was your entity the source of the	e sample(s)? No 📃 Yes (If Y	'es, skip t <mark>o #21</mark> if you have	any additional commen	fs.)
11. Has the sender(s) (i.e., sample NOTE: Please request completed a	provider(s)) of the specimen(s) been n and signed Part 2 from each facility that	otified of the identification at was in possession of the	of the select agent or to specimen(s).	xin? No Yes
A_63_6				

APHIS/CDC Form 4A Helpful Information-Sample Disposition – Sections A&B

- Disposition of select agent or toxin Question B9
 - An entity not registered with the Federal Select Agent Program cannot retain a select agent or toxin
 - **OTransfer or Destroy onsite**

8. Type of test performed:					
Biochemical		Immunochemistry		PCR	
Culture		Mass Spectrometry (e.g., MALDI)		Sequencing	
DFA/IFA		Microscopy		Other:	
ELISA/EIA/RIA		Mouse Bioassay			
9. Dispositions of select agent or toxin listed by entity (con	mplete all t	hat apply):			
Transferred (Provide entity name and date of transfe	r. Entity:_		Date:)	
 Destroyed (Provide destruction method and date. M 	lethod:	Date:)	
Retained (Provide name of Principal Investigator retained)	ainingsamp	ble. Name:)	
10. Were any of the samples containing a select agent or	toxin hand	led outside of primary containment which may	have led to	an unintentional release and/or e	exposure to
the select agent or toxin?					
No Yes (If Yes, you are required under 7 CFR §	331.19, 9 (FR §121.19, and 42 CFR §73.19 to complete a	and submit a	an APHIS/CDC Form 3)	

APHIS/CDC Form 4A Helpful Information – Sample Disposition – Sections C&D

- Disposition of any remaining select agent or toxin Question D8
 - Destroy onsite or entire sample sent to reference laboratory
 - An entity not registered with the Federal Select Agent Program cannot retain a select agent or toxin

1. Select Agent or Toxin Identified: {Select}	ect Agent or Toxin Identified: ect agent or Toxin Identified: identification reported to APHIS or CDC:			
3. # of samples shipped: 4. Sample type provided: {Select}			5. Zip code for case/patient/sample origin:	
6. Date sample(s) shipped to Reference Laboratory:	7. Name of Reference La	aboratory:		
Disposition of any remaining select agent or toxin listed by entity:				
Destroyed (Provide destruction method and date. Method:	Da	te:)	
Retained (Provide name of Principal Investigator retaining sample. N	Name:)	
Not applicable, the entire specimen was transferred to the Reference	Laboratory.			
9. Were any of the samples containing a select agent or toxin handled ou	tside of primary containme	nt which may have led t	o an unintentional release and/or exposure to the	
select agent or toxin?		-		
No Yes (If Yes, you are required under 7 CFR §331.19, 9 CFR	§121.19, and 42 CFR §73.1	19 to complete and subn	nit an APHIS/CDC Form 3)	
10. Was your entity the source of the sample(s)? No Yes (If Y	es, skip to #21 if you have	any additional comment	ts.)	
11. Has the sender(s) (i.e., sample provider(s)) of the specimen(s) been n NOTE: Please request completed and signed Part 2 from each facility that	notified of the identification of the at was in possession of the	of the select agent ortox specimen(s).	in? No Yes	

APHIS/CDC Form 4A Helpful Information – Release and/or Exposure

- Unintentional release and/or exposure Questions B10 and D9
 - A 'Yes' response requires submission of an APHIS/CDC Form 3

SECTION D - SPI	ECIMEN(S) CONTAINING SEL	ECT AGENT OR TOX	N PROVIDED TO	REFERENCE LABORATORY
1. Select Agent or Toxin Identified: {Select}			2. Date notified by refe identification reported	erence laboratory of select agent or toxin to APHIS or CDC:
3. # of samples shipped:	4. Sample type provided: {Select}			5. Zip code for case/patient/sample origin:
6. Date sample(s) shipped to Refe	rence Laboratory:	7. Name of Reference Lat	boratory:	
8. Disposition of any remaining sel	ect agent or toxin listed by entity:			
Destroyed (Provide destruction)	n method and date. Method:	Dat	e:)
Retained (Provide name of Pr	incipal Investigator retaining sample. N	Name:)
Not applicable, the entire spec	cimen was transferred to the Reference	e Laboratory.		
Were any of the samples contain	ning a select agent or toxin handled ou	utside of primary containmen	t which may have led t	o an unintentional release and/or exposure to the
select agent or toxin?				
No Yes (If Yes, you are	required under 7 CFR §331.19, 9 CFR	§121.19, and 42 CFR §73.1	9 to complete and subn	nit an APHIS/CDC Form 3)
Was your entity the source of t	he sample(s)? No Yes (If Y	(es, skip to #21 if you have a	any additional commen	ts.)
11. Has the sender(s) (i.e., sample NOTE: Please request completed	provider(s)) of the specimen(s) been n and signed Part 2 from each facility that	notified of the identification o at was in possession of the s	f the select agent ortox pecimen(s).	in? No Yes

APHIS/CDC Form 4 Scenarios



APHIS/CDC Form 4 Scenario A

Your hospital laboratory received two serum tubes, and a wound swab from a patient that the doctor suspects has botulism. Your laboratory appropriately packages and ships the samples to the State Health Department Laboratory (SHDL). Three days later the SHDL contacts you with a positive identification of Botulinum neurotoxins from the wound swab. Is your hospital laboratory required to submit an APHIS/CDC Form 4 Sections C/D?

- A. No, because the State Health Department Laboratory identified the Botulinum neurotoxins
- B. Yes, because a select toxin was identified
- C. No, because Botulinum neurotoxins is not a select agent if it is from a wound swab
- D. Not sure



APHIS/CDC Form 4 Scenario A Response

Your hospital laboratory received two serum tubes, and a wound swab from a patient that the doctor suspects has botulism. Your laboratory appropriately packages and ships the samples to the State Health Department Laboratory (SHDL). Three days later the SHDL contacts you with a positive identification of Botulinum neurotoxins from the wound swab. Is your hospital laboratory required to submit an APHIS/CDC Form 4 Sections C/D?

- A. No, because the State Health Department Laboratory identified the Botulinum neurotoxins
- B. Yes, because a select toxin was identified
- C. No, because Botulinum neurotoxins is not a select agent if it is from a wound swab
- D. Not sure



APHIS/CDC Form 4 Scenario B

From Scenario A: Your hospital laboratory received two serum tubes, and a wound swab from a patient that the doctor suspects has botulism. Your laboratory appropriately packages and ships the samples to the State Health Department Laboratory (SHDL). Three days later the SHDL contacts you with a positive identification of Botulinum neurotoxins from the wound swab. What number of samples would you indicate for question D3 on the APHIS/CDC Form 4 Sections C/D?

- A. 3, because that is the total number of samples shipped
- B. 3, because all the samples came from the same patient
- C. 1, because only the wound swab was tested and positive for the select toxin
- D. Not sure



APHIS/CDC Form 4 Scenario B Response

From Scenario A: Your hospital laboratory received two serum tubes, and a wound swab from a patient that the doctor suspects has botulism. Your laboratory appropriately packages and ships the samples to the State Health Department Laboratory (SHDL). Three days later the SHDL contacts you with a positive identification of Botulinum neurotoxins from the wound swab. What number of samples would you indicate for question D3 on the APHIS/CDC Form 4 Sections C/D?

- A. 3, because that is the total number of samples shipped
- B. 3, because all the samples came from the same patient
- C. 1, because only the wound swab was tested and positive for the select toxin
- D. Not sure



APHIS/CDC Form 4 Scenario C

Your hospital laboratory identifies *Brucella abortus* RB51 in a patient's blood sample. Should your laboratory submit a completed APHIS/CDC Form 4A Sections A/B?

- A. Yes, because the identification indicated a 99.9 % accuracy for *Brucella abortus* RB51
- B. No, because the remainder of the blood sample was sent to the State Health Department Laboratory for identification confirmation
- C. No, because *Brucella abortus* RB51 is an attenuated vaccine strain excluded from the Federal Select Agent Program regulations
- D. Not sure



APHIS/CDC Form 4 Scenario C Response

Your hospital laboratory identifies *Brucella abortus* RB51 in a patient's blood sample. Should your laboratory submit a completed APHIS/CDC Form 4A Sections A/B?

- A. Yes, because the instrument identification indicated *Brucella abortus* RB51
- B. No, because the remainder of the blood sample was sent to the State Health Department Laboratory for identification confirmation
- C. No, because *Brucella abortus* RB51 is an attenuated vaccine strain excluded from the Federal Select Agent Program regulations
- D. Not sure



www.selectagents.gov

CDC Contact Information Division of Select Agents and Toxins Irsat@cdc.gov 404-718-2000 APHIS Contact Information Division of Agricultural Select Agents and Toxins <u>DASAT@usda.gov</u> 301-851-2070



Recognizing, Identifying, and Reporting the Identification of Select Agents and Toxins

MALDI-TOF

Limitations, Misidentifications and Safety

John R. McQuiston Ph.D.

Special Bacteriology Reference Laboratory

Bacterial Special Pathogens Branch Division of High Consequence Pathogens and Pathology Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Atlanta, GA USA



MALDI-TOF

Limitations, Misidentifications and Safety

- MALDI-TOF Overview
- Taxonomy- Why does it have to be so confusing?
- Safe handling.
- Database importance
- MicrobeNet overview
- Conclusions

Bacterial Clinical Identification Testing

- Biochemical / Phenotypic Tests Too slow, too expensive.
- PCR Too specific
- Genomics Too slow, too expensive, too much expertise needed (for now)
- MALDI TOFjust right



MALDI-TOF

- MALDI-TOF is one of the fastest, easiest and cheapest 'specimen to result' laboratory tests ever developed for thousands of bacterial and fungal species.
 - ≤ 10 min per sample prep time (full extraction).
 - Hundreds of specimens per day.
 - Accurate results within minutes.
 - ~\$0.50 per isolate (excluding equipment and staff)
 - Accurate at the genus and species level.
 - A few publications on strains of *E.coli*.
 - New IR technology will go to the strain level.
 - Can ID some Antimicrobial Resistance based on markers

... it's not perfect.



MALDI-TOF sample plate



Bruker MS

Vitek MS

MERIEUS

MALDI-TOF: "Why can't I differentiate ...?"

Limitations

- Really only accurate to the species level (with a few recent exceptions).
- Results are only as good as your database representation and quality.
- Taxonomists get in the way.

Misidentifications

Misidentifications of species on biological tests occur for different reasons:

- Cross reactivity (PCR)
- Contamination (Genomics)
- Lateral gene transfer (Molecular tests)
- Taxonomic nomenclature issues (MALDI)
- Species relatedness (MALDI)

Taxonomy : Species Relatedness





Taxonomy

Brucella vs. Ochrobactrum

Brucella and Ochrobactrum Taxonomic Updates for Laboratories | ASM.org



Brucella and *Ochrobactrum* Taxonomic Updates for Laboratories

Y Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) for Clinical Laboratories

Authors: Rosemary She, Carrie Anglewicz, Kurt Jerke, Ryan Relich, Mark Glazier, Laura Filkins*, Audrey Schuetz*

*Co-corresponding authors

On behalf of the American Society for Microbiology Clinical and Public Health Microbiology Committee, Laboratory Practices Subcommittee

Background

In 2020, Hördt et al. proposed the reclassification of *Ochrobactrum* species to the genus *Brucella* based on recent gene-content analysis studies (1). The past taxonomic distinction between *Ochrobactrum* and *Bru-*



Taxonomy

...even within Ochrobactrum/Brucella

Brucella lupini

According to Gazolla-Volpiano et al. (2019), this species is a later heterotypic synonym of Ochrobactrum anthropi Holmes et al. 1988. Publication: Gazolla Volpiano C, Hayashi Sant'Anna F, Ambrosini A, Brito Lisboa B, Kayser Vargas L, Passaglia LMP. Reclassification of Ochrobactrum lupini as a later heterotypic synonym of Ochrobactrum anthropi based on whole-genome sequence analysis. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2019; 69:2312-2314.

According to Hoerdt et al. (2020), this species is not a later heterotypic synonym of Brucella anthropi (Holmes et al. 1988) Hördt et al. 2020. **Publication:** Hordt A, Lopez MG, Meier-Kolthoff JP, Schleuning M, Weinhold LM, Tindall BJ, Gronow S, Kyrpides NC, Woyke T, Goker M. Analysis of 1,000+ Type-Strain Genomes Substantially Improves Taxonomic Classification of Alphaproteobacteria. Front Microbiol 2020; **11**:468.

Species Relatedness: the Burks

- (Burkh	olderia cepacia	B7	B7	0	Burkholderia cepacia
	SCORE	DETECTED SPECIES	MATCH LIBRARY			
	2,539	Burkholderia cepacia	CDC			
٠	2.262	Burkholderia cenocepacia	BRUKER			
	2.232	Burkholderia cenocepacia	BRUKER			
	2.207	Burkholderia cenocepacia	BRUKER			
	2.193	Burkholderia diffusa	BRUKER			
	2,151	Burkholderia cepacia	BRUKER			
	2.15	Burkholderia vietnamiensis	BRUKER			
	2.097	Burkholderia pyrrocinia	BRUKER			
	2.075	Burkholderia seminalis	BRUKER			
•	2.063	Burkholderia anthina	BRUKER			

Solutions

What is the MALDI misidentification solution?

- 1. Check that your database is up to date and includes representatives of Select Agents.
- 2. <u>Be wary when two or more species are above a 2.0 score in MALDI-TOF results and within 10%.</u>
- 3. Be aware of the taxonomic synonyms of the bacterial species.
 - Check with LRN, LPSN, SPHL, CDC or MicrobeNet (MicrobeNet@cdc.gov)
- 4. Use MicrobeNet for Bruker MALDI as it is kept up to date, combined with Bruker releases and contains SA's.
- 5. Look out for your own safety!

*LPSN - List of Prokaryotic names with Standing in Nomenclature https://www.bacterio.net/

MALDI-TOF and Safety

The biggest risk of MALDI-TOF is open culture exposure of an unknown.



MALDI-TOF and Safety

- Treat all isolates as unknowns until identified.
- In BSPB bacterial culture work is performed in a **BSC with PPE**.
- Think of BSC space as bench space.

"Full tube extraction (10 min) is the best proven method for safety" (Rudrick et.al 2017)



MALDI-TOF and Safety



Safety and Accuracy of Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization–Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry for Identification of Highly Pathogenic Organisms

James T. Rudrik,^a Marty K. Soehnlen,^a Michael J. Perry,^b Maureen M. Sullivan,^c Wanda Reiter-Kintz,^d Philip A. Lee,^a Denise Pettit,^f Anthony Tran,^a Erin Swaney^h Bureau of Laboratories, Michigan Department of Health and Human Services, Lansing, Michigan, USA^a, Biodefore Laboratories, Michigan Department of Health and Human Services, Lansing, Michigan, USA^a, Safety and Accuracy of Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization-Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry for Identification of Highly Pathogenic Organisms - PubMed (nih.gov)

Rudrik et al.

Journal of Clinical Microbiology

TABLE 1 Viability of BT agents following MALDI-TOF sample preparation

	No. of tubes with growth using indicated sample preparation method/no. tested								
	Direct colony		On-plate formic acid			Tube extraction			
Organism(s)	Target	Spot + Matrix	Spot	Target	Spot + Matrix	Spot	Target	Spot + Matrix	Spot
Bacillus anthracis	3/5	5/5	5/5	1/5	5/5	5/5	0/5	1/5	5/5
Burkholderia thailandensis	0/5	5/5	5/5	0/5	5/5	5/5	0/5	0/5	5/5
Clostridium botulinum/Clostridium perfringens	1/5	1/5	3/5	1/5	0/5	2/5	0/5	1/5	4/5
Francisella tularensis	1/5	2/5	4/5	1/5	2/5	5/5	0/5	1/5	5/5
Yersinia pestis	0/4	3/4	4/4	1/4	4/4	4/4	0/4	0/4	3/4
Brucella abortus	0/4	3/4	4/4	1/4	4/4	4/4	0/4	0/4	3/4
Total	5/28	19/28	25/28	5/28	20/28	25/28	0/28	3/28	25/28

Databases

- Quality and curation of databases
 - Keep databases up to date.
 - Check for accurate taxonomic curation of strains added to the database

Taxonomic confusion misleading the user.

• Former Ochrobactrums are now identified as: *i.e.* "Brucella anthropi (form. Ochrobactrum)" in many commercial databases.

Representation

- Misidentifications if the correct species data is NOT in the database
 - Low scores, make sure scores are acceptable to the species level.
 - Don't just pick the top result.



https://microbenet.cdc.gov/



With powerful tools and information at your fingertips, you get quicker test turnaround and lower costs for your organization.



MicrobeNet Sea	rch Q	I			2 7 (
🛃 Mass Spectrometry 🖋 🗎 🕇	🛷 BLAST	+ ≣ ≣⁺	A Phenotypic	⊞ = +	_
190523-0823-1011016854 3/30/2022	• SCACM	2/18/2022	Unknown X1234	11/5/2021	Q
BRUKER	 zje8_12919_0400pm 	10/19/2021	Brendan Headd	8/2/2021	_
	 test zje8_04720_0220pm_Seq. 1 	6/24/2020	 Demonstration 	7/15/2021	
File Drop Drop MALDI or BLAST files here or Click to select file	S System Ale	rts uker library ver. 11. Click here	for 04/12/2022		
You can drop Bruker MALDI-TOF files (XML) or BLAST files (FAST	ΓA)				

Bacterial Identification by MALDI-TOF

Classification Result biddPth-631c-4384-arc6-33e3135a11be presented 3200202115355 AM presented 32002021150 published 2/11/2021, MSP Count: 11360 Discretifiered 62002001111 published 6201120201, MSP Count: 11360 Discretifiered 62002001111 published 6201120201, MSP Count: 11360 Discretifiered 62001111 published 6201120201, MSP Count: 11360 Discretifiered 620011111 published 620112001111111111111111111111111111111			E	MicrobeNet	🔅 - Search Q		
Model/1b-631c-4384-a7c6-33e313511be weak-30202110525 54 M3 Victor Market 3200211052 119355 54 M3 Victor Market 320021105 10 published 2211/2021. MSP Count: 11360) Water 100 00 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100	assification Result		_				
 NAME POSTION CHIP DETECTED SPECIES MACH UBBAN 2267 Bitabethingia mitoda COC 2216 Bitabethingia mitoda COC 2216 Bitabethingia mitoda COC 2118 Bitabethingia mitoda BIULICER 2019 Bitabethingia mitoda COC 2118 Bitabethingia mitoda BIULICER 2019 Bitabethingia mitoda BIULICER 2019 Bitabethingia mitoda BIULICER BIULICER BIULICER COC 2118 Bitabethingia mitoda BIULICER BIU	dd0f1b-631c-4384-a7c8-33e3135a11be ated: 3/30/2022 11:53:56 AM John McQuiston raries Selected: MICROBENET - 2021.1.0 (v 2021.1.0 published 2/11/2021, N ARGENTINA (v 1 published 6/23/2020, MSP Count: 36) owing 1 to 4 of 4 entries	ላSP Count: 11366)	Range 2.00 - 3.00 1.70 - 1.99 0.00 - 1.69	Elizabethkingia Type Species: Elizabethkingia meningoseptica ▼ GenusOverview On most commercially-available media, colonies are white negative. Strains grow optimally at 25-37°C, pH 7.0, and a the only or major respiratory quinone. Species from this g strains from most species were isolated from human clinic particularly sinks. Certain strains are the causative agent o isolates have been derived from a variety of animals, inclu (HPLC). The range calculated from whole genome sequent type species is Elizabethkingia meningoseptica. Copyright © 2015 Bergey's Manual Trust. Published by Job	e or very pale yellow. Cells are rod shaped and Gram-staining- ierobically. Most of the species are halotolerant. Menaquinone-6 i genus are inherently resistant to many antibiotics, and the type cal specimens, and are often found in hospital environments, of a contagious disease among farmed frogs. <i>Elizabethkingia</i> uding insects, horses, and fish. DNA G+C content (mol%): 35.0-38. ice (WGS) data suggests a narrower range, from 35.5 to 36.5%. Th whn Wiley & Sons. All rights reserved.	Contact Information Species Contact The Special Bact Reference Lab (S SBRL@cdc.gov S (404) 639-0270 2 e	
SCORE DEFECTED SPECIES MATCH LBRARY 2267 Eitzabethkingia mirkola COC 223 Eitzabethkingia mirkola COC 2213 Eitzabethkingia mirkola RRUKER 2113 Eitzabethkingia mirkola RRUKER 22057 Eitzabethkingia mirkola RRUKER 2213 Eitzabethkingia mirkola RRUKER 2213 Eitzabethkingia mirkola RRUKER 22035 Eitzabethkingia mirkola RRUKER 2191128 Af A6 Q Eitzabethkingia mirkola	▲ ID 🔶 NAME ● 19-112A A5	▲ POSITION 🔶 CHIP A5 0	DETECTED SPECE Elizabethkingia miricola	a Blizabethkingia min meningose Taxonomy /Strain	ricola n		
 And Markening Minol And Markening Minol And Markening Minol And Markening Minol Bitzabethkingia minola BRUKER And Markening Minola BRUKER And Markening Minola BRUKER And Markening Minola BRUKER Coc Bitzabethkingia minola BRUKER Bitz	SCORE DETECTED SPECIES MATCH LIBRARY			Strains in Microbel DSM14571 [⊥]	Net:		
 2.21 Elizabethkingia miricola 2.16 Elizabethkingia miricola 2.162 Elizabethkingia miricola 2.153 Elizabethkingia miricola 2.153 Elizabethkingia miricola 2.153 Elizabethkingia miricola 2.055 Elizabethkingia miricola 2.057 Elizabethkingia miricola 2.053 Elizabethkingia miricola 2.051 Elizabethkingia miricola 1.128 A6 4.6 0 9. Elizabethkingia miricola 1.128 A7 1.1	2.23 Elizabethkingia miricola CDC			▲ Overview			
 2.133 Elizabethkingia miricola 2.035 Elizabethkingia miricola 2.057 Elizabethkingia miricola 2.051 Elizabethkingia miricola 2.051 Elizabethkingia miricola 19-112A A6 0 Elizabethkingia miricola Elizabethkingia miricola 19-112A A6 A7 O Staphylococcus homininis 	2.21 Elizabethkingia miricola CDC 2.162 Elizabethkingia miricola BRUKER 2.153 Elizabethkingia miricola BRUKER			Rods, approximately nutrient agars. Very white-yellow, circula galactosidase are pn hydrolyzed in the AF	Rods, approximately 0.5 µm in diameter and 1.0–2.5 µm in length. Good growth occurs on tryptic soy, blood, nutrient agars. Very slow growth occurs on cetrimide agar. Growth occurs at 22–37°C but not at 5 or 42°C. Cc white–yellow, circular, entire, smooth, and very sticky on solid media. Oxidase- and catalase-positive. Indole a galactosidase are produced. Urea is hydrolyzed, and gelatinase activity is strain dependent. 2-Naphthyl butyr hydrolyzed in the API ZYM gallery. The type strain forms a precipitate when grown on egg yolk agar. D-Manu		
 2.085 2.085 2.087 2.057 2.057 2.053 2.053 2.053 2.054 2.054 2.054 2.055 2.054 2.054 2.054 2.055 2.054 	2.133 Elizabethkingia miricola CDC			isolated from conte contaminated comm 0//CST Tune strain	Nracetyr-D-glucosamine are assimilated, but tyrosine is not degra insation water in the space station Mir; a second strain was recent nercial preparation of an enzyme. DNA G +C content (mol%): 35.3 UV 2 PL DSM 15571 (CM 11412) GTC 952	ly identified from the ±0.3 (type strain: 35.0) (H	
 2.057 Elizabethkingia miricola 2.053 Elizabethkingia miricola 2.051 Elizabethkingia miricola 2.051 Elizabethkingia miricola 19-112A A6 0 Elizabethkingia miricola 0 Staphylococcus hominis 	2.085 Elizabethkingia miricola BRUKER			(wos), type strain: : Copyright © 2015 B	iergey's Manual Trust. Published by John Wiley & Sons. All rights r	eserved.	
 2.053 Elizabethkingia miricola 2.051 Elizabethkingia miricola BRUKER BRUKER IP-112A A6 A6 Elizabethkingia miricola Elizabethkingia miricola IP-112B A7 Staphylococcus hominis 	2.057 Elizabethkingia miricola CDC			. Income			
2.051 Elizabethkingia miricola 19-112A A6 A6 0 Elizabethkingia miricola 19-112B A7 0 Staphylococcus hominis	2.053 Elizabethkingia miricola BRUKER			▲ images		The state	
	2.051 Elizabethkingia miricola BRUKER	A6 0	Elizabethkingia miricola			Tot. The	
	19-112A A0	A7 0	Staphylococcus hominis			I. M.	

Conclusions

- 1. Your safety must come first!
- 2. Work in a BSC think of it as more bench space and they are 1/25th the cost of the MALDI-TOF.
 - And much less than a lab shutdown or staff comp time.
- 3. Do full extractions! (c'mon it's only 10 min)
- 4. Taxonomy will always be a challenge and you need to be aware that something could be a select agent.
- 5. When two species results are \geq 2.0, this should be a alert of a possible taxonomy issue.
- 6. When in doubt, use MicrobeNet!!
- 7. If you have questions ask! <u>MicrobeNet@cdc.gov</u>. (or <u>microbenetlatam@anlis.gob.ar</u> for Latin America)

CDC Special Bacteriology Reference Laboratory

Melissa Bell Ainsley Nicholson Ben Humrighouse Adam Szewc Chris Gulvik Alli Gombolay Joe Rehfus

Acknowledgements



Brendan Headd MicrobeNet Project Manager

Lab Team Christina Hopper Jake Cochran Ryan Freeman IT Development Team Emily Sims Byron Thomas

Peraton Corp. Reliant Global Technologies ANLIS Argentina (Coordinating Center for MicrobeNet Latin America)

> Dr. Monica Prieto Florencia Rocca Dra. Claudia Perandones Dr. Carlos Vay

Bruker Daltonics

Markus Kostrzewa Karl Otto Kraeuter Justin Clark Gongyi Shi











Thank you! Please contact us at: MicrobeNet@cdc.gov

or

https://microbenet.cdc.gov/



Questions?



Upcoming OneLab Network Events



A Unified Response to Training Needs

Biosafety Practices and Reporting Occupational Exposures to Select Agents and Toxins

May 31, 2023

Register Now! https://cdc.zoomgov.com/webinar/register/WN_0sjNfu4KQs6jNvlQpr1Pag



Continuing Education

In order to receive continuing education credits, you must:

- 1. Attend entire webinar/register for course on TRAIN
 - Register for the course in TRAIN
 - Registration passcode (in chat)
 - Select "PACE" credit type

Click "Launch" CDC TRAIN HOME COURSE CATALOG VOUR LEARNING CALENDAR RESOURCES DISCUSSIONS HELP Ro Webinar Series: The Future of FSAP Inspections; Preparing for a Successful Inspection Experience C BK

Click on green "Mark Complete"

CDC TRAIN

•



- Complete webinar evaluation
 - Click green "Take Evaluation" button



Complete the evaluation

< Back

- 3. Obtain P.A.C.E Certificate
 - Click on the blue "Print Certificate"
 button to download

CDC TRAIN HOME COURSE CATALOG YOUR LEARNING CALENDAR RESOURCES DISCUSSIONS (HELP Ro Webinar Series: The Future of FSAP Inspections; Preparing for a Successful Inspection Experience (History + Register (Print Certificato